



## ETLG Survey 2013

Healthy organizations constantly reinvent themselves to meet the dynamic needs of their constituents and customers. Given that, how can ETLG refine its strategic goals, its mission, and what suggestions can we give ITLC regarding the ETLG Charge? The questions below are intended to help ETLG examine what it wants to do and become in the future. In preparation for a discussion to take place at our annual meeting, please review our current charge on the ETLG Wiki. You will notice on the bottom of page 1 (or top of page 2) of our current charge that there are five bullet points that define our mission, listed here: 1) Provide analysis on educational technology... 2) Identify and make recommendations on promising emerging technologies... 3) Promote cross-UC campus communications... 4) Investigate various methodologies and instruments for the analysis of the effectiveness of technologies... 5) Build a sense of community and collaboration... across our 10 campuses.

### Question 1

Do you feel that the five bullet points from our charge, listed above, are still pertinent?

- Yes
- No

### Question 2

Which one of the following aspects of our ETLG charge are we actually doing and should continue to do? (multiple choice)

- Provide analysis on educational technology...
- Identify and make recommendations on promising emerging technologies
- Promote cross-UC campus communications...
- Investigate various methodologies and instruments for the analysis of the effectiveness of technologies...
- Build a sense of community and collaboration... across our campuses.

### Question 3

Which of the following are we not actively doing but should be? (multiple choice)

- Provide analysis on educational technology...
- Identify and make recommendations on promising emerging technologies...
- Promote cross-UC campus communications...
- Investigate various methodologies and instruments for the analysis of the effectiveness of technologies...
- Build a sense of community and collaboration... across our campuses.

### Question 4

Which of the following should we drop entirely or change?

- Provide analysis on educational technology...
- Identify and make recommendations on promising emerging technologies...
- Promote cross-UC campus communications...
- Investigate various methodologies and instruments for the analysis of the effectiveness of technologies...
- Build a sense of community and collaboration... across our campuses.

---

### Question 5

Please describe changes (if any) / other comments on our current charge

---

### Question 6

Should ETLG have a new charge exclusively to share and collaborate on topics of common interest?

- Yes
- No

---

### Question 7

What obstacles to this new charge do you foresee?

---

### Question 8

Please add other comments you would like to make regarding ways to make ETLG more effective?

---

### Question 9

After four years of existence, what pain points are we sensing?

---

### Question 10

How can we promote broader volunteerism across ETLG?

---

### Question 11

---

What factors are at play (workload, control, etc.) and can we resolve?

---

### Question 12

---

What is a reasonable time commitment for members to devote to ETLG? (2 hours per month, 4 hours, whatever we can spare)?

---

### Question 13

---

If compensation or some other incentive cannot be made available, what other ideas do you have that might promote more engagement?

---

### Question 14

---

Are we communicating effectively with our workgroups? Please suggest ways to improve...

---

### Question 15

---

Are we communicating effectively with the ITLC? Please suggest ways to improve...

---

### Question 16

---

Should we convert the workgroups from “service-focused” to “project-focused” instead? For instance, should we establish an eTexts workgroup for one year instead of having an instructional platforms workgroup that exists over the long term?

- Yes
- No

---

### Question 17

---

What is a reasonable time commitment for workgroup members to devote to their workgroup meetings / duties?

- One hour per month?
- Two hours per month?
- Whatever they can spare?

---

### Question 18

---

Please add any additional comments on workgroups below:

---

### Question 19

---

Facilitator – should we use a third party facilitator for the ETLG self-reflective discussion at our annual meeting in San Diego ?

- Yes
- No

---

### Question 20

---

Bonus Question for May Conf Call: What topics might we discuss in the May conf call? Please indicate importance...

---

**Go Back without Saving**

---

University of California © 2010-12 UC Regents  
[Privacy Notice](#) [Request Access](#)

Powered by the [Mobile Web Framework](#) 