ETLG Monthly Meeting

June 1, 2015

In Attendance:

Jenn Stringer	UCB*
David Levin	UCD
Paul Verwey	UCD*
Shohreh Bozorgmehri	UCI*
Todd Van Zandt	UCM*
Israel Fletes	UCR*
Cheryl Hathaway	UCR
Larry Loeher	UCLA*
Michelle Lew	UCLA*
Rose Rocchio	UCLA*
George Michaels	UCSB*
Jim Phillips	UCSC*
Jeff Henry	UCSD
Dan Suchy	UCSD*
Gail Persily	UCSF*
Mary-Ellen Kreher	UCOE*
Mike Wood	UCOE
Mathew Williams	UCI
Jim Davis	UCLA/ITLC Liaison*
Gabe Youtsey	ITLC
Tom Andriola	UCOP/ITLC*

* = Present

Minutes

- Roll call at 1:05 PM (George Michaels) - 5 minutes
- Review of Minutes from May 11 Meeting - No Suggestions
- Unizin Update/ COVC Meeting Prep - Tom Andriola
  - Thanks to everyone on ETLG for work over past several months helping with these discussions. Highlight of the debrief is primarily weighted by the work of the ETLG. Should be a productive meeting. There are definitely differences of opinion, but we should have what we need for the meeting. Rose: Question about pros and cons in the briefing document that went to the EVC's. Not in the document, but Tom and Larry have gone over feedback from ETLG and COUL. COVC really keeps briefs very short by design. Shohreh: Timeline on a decision? Tom: Hard to tell, given the people involved and the number of them and the various campus perspectives and challenges. Tom's tally momentum looks pretty strong, but very hard to tell right now. There is a possibility that folks will want to pursue other options. May have more work to do between now and the next ETLG meeting. Rose: interesting thing came up in Learning Analytics Task Force, do

not have a breakdown on potential costs of the analytics pilots as an estimate for future costs. Piloting with various systems, about 5 in all, ideally will be cross campus in scope. Tom: Are campuses doing something with EAB considered part of a pilot (e.g. UCSC)? Jim: no because we are not working on the learning analytics portion. Should have a document in a month or so that outlines the pilots? Tom, any kind of writeup on this? Jim: yes rough draft of use cases and possible scope of pilots. Much the same as what was presented at SLESIAC.

- Announcement of Vice-Chair 2015-2016 Nominations and Election Process - Israel Fletes
  - Received 6 nomination entries. Two people have been nominated. One is okay with the nomination, but still waiting to hear back from the other one before announcing. Hope to open polling this afternoon or tomorrow at the latest. One week to vote. Shohreh - remember to remind us! Will close a week from tomorrow.
- Face to Face Meeting Planning - All
  - Agenda Items
    - Learning Analytics Taskforce
    - Proctoring for online courses session - Especially different price points for Proctor-U for courses across the system. All campuses could get same results.
    - ILTI/UCOE update
    - Captioning for online video - George and Dan EALT also wanted to have some joint communication, perhaps we could have some from EALT call in (Lucy Greco?). Possible systemwide solutions discussion?
    - Demo of Jenn's team's learning tools - gallery, engagement tool and Collabosphere, maybe add CASA as well. Glenda Hall faculty at Berkeley will use Collabosphere. Other faculty member will busing gallery and engagement tool in his course. Faculty may be able to come and demonstrate.
    - Unizin followup session
    - Jeff Henry and Rose CASA presentation
    - ITLC Session - Jim and maybe Gabe
    - IMS Update - Rose/Jeff
  - Suggestions for Activities
    - Michael's ideas for activities after lunch on both days
      - Botanical Gardens tour on north side
      - Geological Gardens tour on south side of campus
      - Bring sun screen and walking shoes!
- Update on Piazza Negotiations - Jenn Stringer/Jim Phillips
  - One more meeting this morning. Not much different from the last meeting. Mainly tweaking some of the agreement language. Probably asking of some stuff that they may not be fully able to do. Piazza looking at monetizing based on a student job search function, but need to make sure that we can get the student activity data. Possibly a position paper on terms, also some is in the white paper. The technical call did occur. Things are getting pretty close. One example, contractually we'd like to say that if a student is violating University policy we would like to be able to remove a student from the course as they are removed from the campus course participation.
- UCOE/ILTI Update - Mary-Ellen Kreher
  - Last month the third RFP run had closed. Some bock grants made available, but waiting for a final list from UCR. Course funding decisions now made. Grew by 25 courses. Up to about 90 courses now. Hopeful that will be able to meet the 150 course goal by the end of next year. Fall had a total of 29 courses available for enrollment. Had a good first week of fall enrollments.

- Opened website presence, and ready to open a new search tool for courses available in the system to make it easier for students to discover courses and then enroll in them. In QA right now for the search tool. That is going okay.
- Moving forward on cataloging different campus course approval processes to assist faculty. Will be moving to a database to catalog credits for courses and what requirements they apply to.
- Phase 1 design for the cross-campus enrollment system. Hope to have the 6 participating campuses in Phase 1 will have designs and budget ready. Had a good meeting last week at UCLA on web-service interfaces for the change of data fro cross-campus enrollment. Very happy at the degree of participation and enthusiasm of all of the participants. Everyone left feeling that much had been accomplished and project is well on the way. Advisory Board involvement still to come once things are more firmly specified. Tracy Larabee advising on policy related to enrollment. Hope to have something for ILTI by late August or early September. Participant campuses have $250,000 each, recently supplemented by another $100,000 all aimed at planning and development.
- Analytics Task Force Update - Rose Rocchio/Jim Phillips
  - Document coming soon. Another meeting on June 19th. May have a speaker from Sakai analytics group. Put together a Google Doc that tries to list all of the different textbook publisher solutions that are out or coming. A rapidly moving set of technologies.
  - Jenn: Have there been more in depth conversations about costs for pilots/developments in the Taskforce meetings? Rose, really just started talking about it, but have not had those discussions with vendors yet. Kind of waiting to hear which of the pilots ETLG will want to endorse. Jenn: Always good to quantify the effort that is going into this as a way to make a case of potential additional funding. Need to include total costs and cost of staff time to even pilot these.
- Learning Impact Debrief/CASA Demo - Rose Rocchio
  - See slide deck attached.
  - Peer to peer sharing for publishing and delivery of learning content best model. Admins of the LMS environment act as the gate-keepers/filters.
  - Utility is in Discovery/Ratings/and Curation
  - Privacy controls set up to help enable activity data transparency. Filtering based on privacy settings, or desired privacy settings. Additional filtering on level of interoperability. Also filtering on accessibility of proposed resources. All of this meta-data entered on an app by app basis now.
  - CASA awareness of availability of native apps as well as web versions of apps.
  - Discovery for faculty members is one of the most difficult issues, that and providing useful ratings for apps for faculty.
  - Current test is based on Moodle test instance. Can add apps, but then how do you capture activity data? - CASA has 6 sensors to track app spread and usage covering: publisher, receiver acceptance/rejection, users found, user viewed, user added app.
  - This data is then collected and analyzed in Intellify.
  - What's next?: Caliper attributes, adding more LTI 2 data, competency attributes of users.
  - UCLA has an Intellify sandbox for the summer. Rose would love to see Caliper streams from multiple campus LMS's
- Campus Report (See attached slides) - UCI: Shohreh
  - Lots of substantial changes in upper administration and significant new committees governing all aspects of teaching and learning.
  - Very interested in learning what patterns are developing on other campuses with regard to computer lab use.
  - George: Question about new committee membership: administrative, Senate, combined? The higher up, there faculty involvement. Workgroups are primarily administrative staff membership.
  - Full participation in LMS for all students and faculty at this point.

- Still evaluating Canvas? or have you decided to move to Canvas and are just figuring out the ramp-up? Shohreh: Campus still split on Canvas for now, although some faculty are very committed to Canvas.
- Lots of the new committee have been launched by the new Chancellor, who was the former Provost, but he is very involved and interested.
- Adjourned 2:40 PM