## **ETLG Monthly Meeting**

## May 11, 2015

## In Attendance:

UCB\* Jenn Stringer David Levin UCD\* **Paul Verwey** UCD\* UCI\* Shohreh Bozorgmehri Todd Van Zandt **UCM**\* Israel Fletes UCR Cheryl Hathaway UCR\* Larry Loeher **UCLA\*** Rose Rocchio **UCLA\*** George Michaels **UCSB\* lim Phillips UCSC\*** Jeff Henry **UCSD\*** Dan Suchy **UCSD\*** UCSF\* Gail Persily Mary-Ellen Kreher **UCOE\*** Mike Wood **UCOE\*** Mathew Williams UCI\* Gabe Youtsey ITLC

\* = Present

## Minutes

- Roll call at 1:05 PM (George Michaels) 5 minutes
- Review of Minutes from April 6 Meeting No comments/Amendments
- Update on Piazza Negotiations Jenn Stringer/Jim Phillips
  - Moving forward with trying to get a UC-wide agreement, but with limited ability to impact since it is a free service. Pushing on this as a testbed case for the system's ability to negotiate with these vendors. Irvine on board, but also feel the pressure to sign and agreement for their campuses well. This goes back to the cloud licensing white-paper. Had a conversation with Piazza, none of the technical folks form Piazza were able to get on the call. Will have a follow-up call. Contract language to keep vendor from trying to sell the analytics back to us. Asking them to include language that allows campuses to request their data on some sort of regular basis for all courses form the campus. They do not have APIS for transferring the data at this point. Have asked them if they will implement Caliper? They are just now looking into it. Surpassing that they just became aware of Caliper. Rose not a big surprise that the tech side of Piazza not communicating as well with the marketing side. Speculation that it also may not be in their best interests to be interoperable. The way in which Piazza wants to monetize by letting students optin to sharing information with job recruiters. Cast as a career-matching tool. Piazza then can sell

the information to recruiters. Also been pushing single sign, and they don;t seem to see how important that might be. They are LTI compliant, so they are aware of IMS's work. When you log into Piazza with LTI, you do not have access to all of your other Piazza courses in that session. SSO would make things a bit easier, but LTI integration and SSO are not completely interoperable. Need to be able to give faculty both options: SSO and LTI integration with the campus LMS. Doing more of this kind of negotiation through ETLG could be more nimble than other systemwide licensing efforts. Thanks to Jenn and Jim for the efforts to get this negotiated. UCI laboring under a June 30 deadline to sign the contract, lots of pressure at UCI to just get this done for them without all of the restrictions that ETLG is pushing for. This is a watershed moment for the system, akin to the publisher agreements of the 80's and 90's. Perhaps the best thing would be for UCI to sign a limited agreement to move forward, while the larger negotiations continue. Shohreh getting push-back from other elements of the campus. Jim sent link to Piazza Careers for all of us to look at. The accessibility piece: Berkley did a test for accessibility which went very badly. Piazza has an "accessibility mode", but when you turn it on it breaks LTI integration. They say they are onboard with being ADA compliant, and add it to the contract. For the past few years, Piazza has been committed to accessibility compliance and have worked with UCSD developers to get there. Would be great if we can get the data passthrough elements working smoothly without breaking anything. The key is knowing which interoperability tools/standards the vendor will be compliant with. Jim's take, two elements. One informing the systemwide negotiators about things that should be in all contracts, and secondarily using Piazza as the test case. Tom Trappler at UCI doing a good job getting this ball rolling. Maybe invite him to the face to face meeting in July. Tom was part of OIT at UCLA, Rose knows him very well. Shohreh to send contact info to George.

- UCB, AdHoc faculty Accessibility group just formed, and wanted to have the campus promise not to sign any contracts with any company that is not fully accessible. Anyone else have a faculty group doing this yet? In general not at any of the other campuses, yet. Shohreh asked for any sharable information from the meetings with the UCB faulty group and the campus.
- Unizin Update All
  - Update on where campuses are in the discussion about membership
    - UCSB Update: Senate on board, CIO on board, not mush in the way of information from other key campus players.
    - UCI: Lots of conversation with the top leadership and CIO. Going to present on the Provost's Cabinet. Video from Michigan. All in all campus appears to be interested. Many folks are used to buying a product, not in developing relationships through a paid partnership. Shohreh putting together the agenda for that meeting. Rose please share the one page flyer. Rose, information from someone at Michigan who feels that Unizin is way overstating their commitment to LTI. Shohreh on the other hand grilled Amin on a lot of things and he was very aware of LTI and IMS. Shohreh happy to share her sheet.
    - UCD: Provost was very positive when first introduced to him. David focusing on the ecosystem part, and not just Unizin. Much more the big picture view. Jeff agrees that the way we put the recommendations is a good "big picture" view.
    - UCSD: CIO is current chair of COVC, and Jeff will be updating him prior to the COVC meeting.
  - New information on cost sharing of membership?
    - How best to explain what it will cost to the campus. Cost to system is 1.35 million over three
      years. How you split that is to be determined. Tom is going to be raising that issue with the
      COVC meeting with the EVC's in June. Two pronged approach: Total cost and finding out how

much interest there might be, and then figuring out how to split the cost if there is significant interest.

- Revisions of white-paper/ETLG recommendation for presentation to COVC June Meeting Tom Andriola? - No additional information form the group on the possible revisions. we don;t know what he has in mind about revisions. We should reach out to Tom about what kinds of revisions he would like. George to email Tom to get more information. Reaction at UCI was that it is very difficult to explain what Unizin is.
- Side discussion about what happens after the first three years, and what happens to our data after the first three years. Some of this Unizin probably has not addressed yet, and may not have an answer. Questions about what repository, and cloud hosting for it. These are all good items to get more clarification from Unizin moving forward. Anecdote from Rose on some disturbing rumors about fluidity of Unizin technical teams.
- David mentioned he had not seen any statewide cross-higher education elements added to the ETLG to recommendations. Tom first mentioned in his email of last week. We all want to know what he has in mind with that wording, including UC, Cal-States and CSU's. The conversation is important, regardless what happens with Unizin, and there are plenty of opportunities there. Makes a lot of sense since many of UC s students start at those other institutions. Common data sharing would be great, but probably really hard to do! Certainly politically wise to get money form the state.
- UCOE/ILTI Update Mary-Ellen Kreher
  - ILTI: Cross campus enrollment, spring quarter was great. The most enrollments of any term since opening in winter 2014. 275 students enrolled, 121 still enrolled at the end of Add-Drop period. Worked more smoothly this time around. About to open Fall enrollment with 30 courses. opens on May 15. Working on search application to help students find courses by subject, campus, and day and time. May not be ready on May 15. Have sent letters to the campuses, and \$150,000 distributed to the campuses for implementation for main cross-campus enrollment system. Hope to conclude early design phase by first of August. Campuses to send in implementation plans after that for FY 2016-2017 funding and development.
  - New program manager next Monday [First Name?] Fonseca, will handle cross-campus enrollment and UCOE.
  - Opening for summer enrollments soon.
- Face to Face Meeting Planning All
  - Doodle Poll Results for Date
    - Best date for the most folks is July 20-21
  - Discussion of Location
    - Israel has voted for Santa Barbara.
    - Rose looking into UCLA
    - Need to verify by Wednesday of this week George
    - Tentative hold for UCSD
  - Anyone else willing to host?
    - Choices UCSD or UCSB
  - Reminder about Open Nominations for 2015-2016 Vice-Chair
- Analytics Task Force Update Rose/Jim
  - Meeting this coming Friday at 3:00. Time may need to switch. No big vendor presentations
    planned. Rose, can demo Moodle course launching CASA and sending data to Caliper. Meetings
    are usually 3:00 Pm on third Friday of the month.
- Demand for Computer Labs as Primary Instructional Space All

- Jim definitely seeing that at UCSC, and David at UCD getting huge demand. Lots of pressure to create more of those spaces, as well as similar setups for departmentally controlled spaces. Also at UCSD, conceptually, looking at more of a BYOD computer lab. UCSB Library looking to build new collaboration spaces in the new wing of the Library. UCR going through a space review, small increase in the instructional use of the labs. Implanted 130 laptops for check out to students to take pressure off computer labs. Also 40 iPads with keyboards available to faculty to reserve. Faculty interest in Doceri very high.
- UCSD: Request process every quarter for requesting labs for classes outside of the Registrar's
  process. Lots of discussion sections in labs. Demand is going up for labs, even though many
  students have their own devices on campus. Shohreh, is the increase for demand for
  instructional, use or individual student use? Both UCR and UCSD it is both.
- Jim growth in lab use is instructional at UCSC too.
- Shohreh suggested a short survey about this issue. George to do (with Shohreh's help) and get results out for next meeting.
- Quick Review of Survey on Lecture Capture/Podcasting and Captioning/Transcription George
  - ~15,000 hours of lecture capture combined/year
  - ~3,806 hours of podcasting combined/year
  - Deferring sharing results pending submission form one more campus.
- Campus Report UCI: Deferred to June Meeting
- Rose Add a debrief from Learning Impact to June Agenda, and a demo of Casa for June meeting.
   George to put on Agenda.
- Adjourned 2:33 PM